

## A Last Word on the Pseudo-Conservation Law for Discrete-Time Cyclic-Service Systems

01304590 *NTT Telecommunication Networks Laboratories* 高橋 敬隆 TAKAHASHI, Yoshitaka

### 1. Introduction

Boxma and Groenendijk [3] find a pseudo-conservation law for a discrete-time cyclic-service system with batch Bernoulli process (BBP) arrivals, expressing a weighted sum of the mean waiting times at the individual stations in the system as a function of its traffic characteristics. The approach taken in [3] is based on their companion paper [2] treating a continuous-time system with Poisson arrivals. However, the result [3] for the discrete-time system with BBP arrivals, and therefore, the result for the continuous-time system with batch Poisson arrivals (obtained by taking the limit as the slot length tends to zero in [3]) are seen to be incorrect [1,4]. To the best of the author's knowledge, however, there exists no literature discussing how such incorrect argument appears in [3].

The main purpose of the paper is to present a (last form of) pseudo-conservation law for the discrete-time system with BBP arrivals, identifying two errors in Boxma and Groenendijk [3] and making corrections.

### 2. Model description and notation

Time is divided into slots which are equal to time unity (one) in length for a moment. We consider a multi-queue, single-server system with  $N$  infinite queueing capacity stations. Each individual station is visited by the server in a cyclic order according to one among exhaustive, gated, 1-limited and 1-decrementing service strategies [7]. Arrivals occur at the end of a slot. This arrival assumption is called as *late arrival*; see Takagi [8]. Customers arrive at a station according to a batch Bernoulli process [8,9].

Let  $X_i$  be the number of arriving customers at station  $i$  during a slot with first two moments;  $\lambda_i := E[X_i]$ ,  $\lambda_i^{(2)} := E[X_i^2]$ . Let  $H_i$  be the service time of a customer arriving in station  $i$  with first two moments;  $h_i := E[H_i]$ , and  $h_i^{(2)} := E[H_i^2]$ . The offered loads are then given as  $\rho_i := \lambda_i h_i$ , and  $\rho = \sum_{i=1}^N \rho_i$ .

Let  $S_i$  be the server switch-over time between stations  $i$  and  $i \pmod{N} + 1$  with first two moments  $s_i$ ,  $s_i^{(2)}$ . The total switch-over time of the server during a cycle is given as  $S := \sum_{i=1}^N S_i$  with first two moments  $s$ , and  $s^{(2)}$ .

Let  $C$  be the cycle time, i.e. the time between two successive arrivals of the server at a station. The flow balance argument in leads to the mean cycle time as

$$c := E[C] = s / (1 - \rho). \quad (2.1)$$

Let  $\{e, g, 1l, 1d\}$  be the partition of the station index set  $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  where  $e := \{j \mid \text{station } j \text{ is exhaustive}\}$ ,  $g := \{j \mid \text{station } j \text{ is gated}\}$ ,  $1l := \{j \mid \text{station } j \text{ is 1-limited}\}$ , and  $1d := \{j \mid \text{station } j \text{ is 1-decrementing}\}$ . Denote by  $A_i$  the event that the service is provided upon its arrival at station  $i$ . The probability of  $A_i$ ,  $\Pr[A_i]$ , is then given by

$$\Pr[A_i] = \lambda_i c = \lambda_i s / (1 - \rho) \quad (i \in 1l), \quad (2.2)$$

$$\Pr[A_i] = \lambda_i (1 - \rho_i) s / (1 - \rho) \quad (i \in 1d). \quad (2.3)$$

### 3. The pseudo-conservation law

Let  $w_i$  be the mean waiting time of a customer in station  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq N$ ). Using the work load decomposition result [3] together with the lumped work load result for the non-vacation (ordinary) system [9], we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \rho_i w_i = \frac{\rho}{2(1-\rho)} \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i h_i^{(2)} + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{N(\lambda_i^{(2)} - \lambda_i^2 - \lambda_i)}{2(1-\rho)} h_i^2 + \rho \left[ \frac{s^{(2)}}{2s} - \frac{1}{2} \right] + \frac{s}{2(1-\rho)} \left[ \rho^2 - \sum_{i=1}^N \rho_i^2 \right] + \sum_{i=1}^N m_i, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $m_i$  denotes the mean amount of work that is left at station  $i$  after an arbitrary departure from that station.

**Remark 3.1** For a zero switch-over time ( $s = 0$ ,  $s^{(2)} / s = 1$ ) system, (3.1) reduces to the conservation law of Bisdikian [1] and Takahashi & Hashida [9]. In Boxma & Groenendijk [3] the second term of (3.1) is

$$\frac{(\lambda^{(2)} - \lambda^2 - \lambda)h^2}{2(1 - \rho)}$$

where  $h := \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda} h_i$ ,  $\lambda^{(2)}$  and  $\lambda$  denote the first two moments for lumped arrival batch size ( $X := \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$ ).

Boxma & Groenendijk [3] miscalculate the mean lumped work load for the non-vacation system. Indeed, their result [3] is valid for a single-station ( $N = 1$ ) system. ■

It remains for us to derive the quantity  $m_i$  for an individual station. For exhaustive and gated stations it is easily verified that from the definitions of service strategies

$$m_i = 0 \quad (i \in e), \quad (3.2)$$

$$m_i = \lambda_i(c\rho_i)h_i = c\rho_i^2 \quad (i \in g). \quad (3.3)$$

For 1-limited and 1-decrementing stations, somewhat more work is required. Conditioning on  $A_i$ , and applying the argument in Shimogawa & Takahashi [6], we obtain

$$m_i = c\rho_i[\lambda_i w_i + \rho_i + \frac{\lambda_i^{(2)} - \lambda_i}{2\lambda_i}] \quad (i \in 1l), \quad (3.4)$$

$$m_i = c\lambda_i(1 - \rho_i)[\rho_i w_i - \{\frac{\lambda_i h_i^{(2)}}{2(1 - \rho_i)}\rho_i - \frac{\lambda_i^{(2)} - \lambda_i^2 - \lambda_i}{2\lambda_i(1 - \rho_i)}\rho_i h_i - \frac{\lambda_i^{(2)} - \lambda_i}{2\lambda_i}h_i\}] \quad (i \in 1d). \quad (3.5)$$

**Remark 3.2** In [3], the last term in the curly bracket of (3.5) is missing. Boxma & Groenendijk [3] misunderstand that the mean number of customers who arrive while the server is present at station  $i$  but who are still waiting to be served,  $E[N_i^+]$ , is equal to the mean number of customers left behind by a departing customer in a  $\text{Geom}^X/\text{GI}/1$  system with arrival batch  $X_i$  and service time  $H_i$ . However,  $E[N_i^+]$  is the mean number of customers left behind by a departing customer in a modified  $\text{Geom}^X/\text{GI}/1$  system with arrival batch  $X_i$  and service time  $H_i$ . Here, by *modified* we mean that each busy period begins with only one customer (batch size initiating each busy period is one). Thus, Boxma & Groenendijk's result [3] is valid for a single (non-batch) arrival system. ■

Substituting (3.2) through (3.5) into (3.1) yields the following result.

**Theorem 3.1** (Pseudo-conservation law) For a discrete-time  $\text{Geom}^X/\text{GI}/1$  type multi-queue system under mixed exhaustive, gated, 1-limited and 1-decrementing service strategies, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i \in e \cup g} \rho_i w_i + \sum_{i \in 1l} \rho_i (1 - \lambda_i c) w_i \\ & + \sum_{i \in 1d} \rho_i [1 - \lambda_i c(1 - \rho_i)] w_i \\ = & \frac{\rho}{2(1-\rho)} \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i h_i^{(2)} + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(\lambda_i^{(2)} - \lambda_i^2 - \lambda_i)}{2\lambda_i(1 - \rho)} h_i \rho_i + \rho \left[ \frac{s^{(2)}}{2s} - \frac{1}{2} \right] \\ & + \frac{c}{2} [\rho^2 - \sum_{i=1}^N \rho_i^2] + c \sum_{i \in g \cup 1l} \rho_i^2 - \frac{c}{2} \sum_{i \in 1d} \lambda_i^2 h_i^{(2)} \rho_i \\ & + c \left[ \sum_{i \in 1l} \frac{\lambda_i^{(2)} - \lambda_i}{2\lambda_i} \rho_i + \sum_{i \in 1d} \frac{\lambda_i^{(2)} - \lambda_i}{2\lambda_i} (1 - \rho_i) \rho_i \right] \\ & - \frac{c}{2} \sum_{i \in 1d} (\lambda_i^{(2)} - \lambda_i^2 - \lambda_i) h_i \rho_i. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

**Remark 3.3** a) We have so far expressed all quantities in slots with unity slot length. We now assume a slot to be of length  $\Delta$ . Taking the limit as  $\Delta \rightarrow 0$  in (3.6), we obtain the continuous-time result of Chiarawongse & Srinivasan [4] for a batch-Poisson arrival  $M^X/\text{GI}/1$  type multi-queue system. b) For an extension of the pseudo-conservation law to priority systems, see Takahashi and Kumar [10]. ■

## References

- [1] C. Bisdikian, *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, **41** (1993) 832-835.
- [2] O. J. Boxma and W. P. Groenendijk, *J. Appl. Prob.*, **24** (1987) 949-964.
- [3] O. J. Boxma and W. P. Groenendijk, *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, **36** (1988) 164-170.
- [4] J. Chiarawongse and M. M. Srinivasan, *Operations Res. Letters*, **10** (1991) 453-459.
- [5] L. Fournier and Z. Rosberg, *Queueing Systems*, **9** (1991) 419-439.
- [6] S. Shimogawa and Y. Takahashi, *Queueing Systems*, **11** (1992) 145-151.
- [7] H. Takagi, *Analysis of Polling Systems* (The MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass, 1986).
- [8] H. Takagi, *Queueing Analysis, Vol.3: Discrete-Time Systems* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1993)
- [9] Y. Takahashi and O. Hashida, *Queueing Systems*, **8** (1991) 149-164.
- [10] Y. Takahashi and B. Krishna Kumar, *J. Operations Res. Soc. Japan* (to appear)