

LINEAR TIME APPROXIMATION ALGORITHM FOR MULTICOLORING LATTICE GRAPHS WITH DIAGONALS

Yuichiro Miyamoto
Sophia University

Tomomi Matsui¹
University of Tokyo

(Received September 30, 2003)

Abstract Let P be a subset of 2-dimensional integer lattice points $P = \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \times \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$. We consider the graph G_P with vertex set P satisfying that two vertices in P are adjacent if and only if Euclidean distance between the pair is less than or equal to $\sqrt{2}$. Given a non-negative vertex weight vector $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^P$, a multicoloring of (G_P, \mathbf{w}) is an assignment of colors to P such that each vertex $v \in P$ admits $w(v)$ colors and every adjacent pair of two vertices does not share a common color.

We show the NP-completeness of the problem to determine the existence of a multicoloring of (G_P, \mathbf{w}) with strictly less than $(4/3)\omega$ colors where ω denotes the weight of a maximum weight clique. We also propose an $O(mn)$ time approximation algorithm for multicoloring (G_P, \mathbf{w}) . Our algorithm finds a multicoloring with at most $(4/3)\omega + 4$ colors

Our algorithm based on the property that when $n = 3$, we can find a multicoloring of (G_P, \mathbf{w}) with ω colors easily, since an undirected graph associated with (G_P, \mathbf{w}) becomes a perfect graph.

Keywords: Graph theory, coloring, multicoloring, lattice graph, perfect graph

1. Introduction

Given a pair of positive integers m and n , P denotes the subset of 2-dimensional integer lattice points defined by $P \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \times \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$. Let G_P be an undirected graph with vertex set P satisfying that two vertices are adjacent if and only if Euclidean distance between the pair is less than or equal to $\sqrt{2}$. Given a non-negative vertex weights $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^P$, the pair (G_P, \mathbf{w}) is called a *weighted lattice graph with diagonals* and abbreviated by WLGD.

Given an undirected graph H and a non-negative integer vertex weight \mathbf{w}' of H , a *multicoloring* of (H, \mathbf{w}') is an assignment of colors to vertices of H such that each vertex v admits $w'(v)$ colors and every adjacent pair of two vertices does not share a common color. A *multicoloring problem* on (H, \mathbf{w}') finds a multicoloring of (H, \mathbf{w}') which minimizes the required number of colors. The multicoloring problem is also known as weighted coloring [2], minimum integer weighted coloring [7] or w -coloring [6]. A vertex subset V' of an undirected graph is called a *clique* if every pair of vertices in V' are adjacent. The weight of a clique is the sum total of all the weights of vertices in the clique. We denote the weight of a maximum weight clique in (H, \mathbf{w}') by $\omega(H, \mathbf{w}')$. It is clear that for any multicoloring of (H, \mathbf{w}') , the required number of colors is greater than or equal to $\omega(H, \mathbf{w}')$.

In this paper, we study a fundamental class of graphs: lattice graphs with diagonals G_P . We show the NP-completeness of the problem to determine the existence a multicoloring

¹ Supported by Superrobust Computation Project of the 21st Century COE Program "Information Science and Technology Strategic Core."

of (G_P, \mathbf{w}) with strictly less than $(4/3)\omega(G_P, \mathbf{w})$ colors. We also propose an $O(mn)$ time algorithm for multicoloring (G_P, \mathbf{w}) with at most $(4/3)\omega(G_P, \mathbf{w}) + 4$ colors.

The multicoloring problem has been studied in several context. On triangular lattice graphs it corresponds to the radio channel (frequency) assignment problem. McDiarmid and Reed [5] showed that the multicoloring problem on triangular lattice graphs is NP-hard. Some authors [5, 6] independently gave approximation algorithms for this problem. In case that a given graph H is a square lattice graph (without diagonal) and/or a hexagonal lattice graph, the graph becomes bipartite and so we can obtain an optimal multicoloring of (H, \mathbf{w}') in polynomial time (see [5] for example). Halldórsson and Kortsarz [3] studied planar graphs and partial k -trees. For both classes, they gave a polynomial time approximation scheme (PTAS) for variations of multicoloring problem with min-sum objectives. These objectives appear in the context of multiprocessor task scheduling.

There is a natural graph $H(\mathbf{w}')$ associated with a pair (H, \mathbf{w}') as above, obtained by replacing each vertex v of H by a complete graph on $w'(v)$ vertices. Multicolorings of the pair (H, \mathbf{w}') correspond to usual vertex colorings of the graph $H(\mathbf{w}')$, and the multicoloring number of (H, \mathbf{w}') is equivalent to the coloring number of $H(\mathbf{w}')$. Here we note that the input size of the graph $H(\mathbf{w}')$ is bounded by a pseudo polynomial of that of (H, \mathbf{w}') in general. We also show that when $n = 3$, we can exactly solve the multicoloring problem on (G_P, \mathbf{w}) in $O(m)$ time. It based on the property that the associated graph $G_P(\mathbf{w})$ becomes a perfect graph. For (general) perfect graphs, Grötschel, Lovász, and Schrijver [2] gave a polynomial time exact algorithm for the coloring problem. Their algorithm based on the ellipsoid method.

2. Approximation Algorithm

In this section, we propose a linear time approximation algorithm for multicoloring a WLGD (G_P, \mathbf{w}) . For any vertex $(x, y) \in P$, we denote the corresponding vertex weight by $w(x, y)$.

Theorem 1 *There exists an $O(mn)$ time algorithm for finding a multicoloring of (G_P, \mathbf{w}) which uses at most $(4/3)\omega(G, \mathbf{w}) + 4$ colors.*

Before giving a proof of Theorem 1, let us consider a well-solvable case.

Lemma 1 *When $P = \{1, \dots, m\} \times \{1, 2, 3\}$, there exists an $O(m)$ time (exact) algorithm for multicoloring (G_P, \mathbf{w}) with $\omega(G_P, \mathbf{w})$ colors.*

Proof: In the following, we express a multicoloring by an assignment of integers $c : P \rightarrow 2\mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $[\forall v \in P, w(v) = |c(v)|]$ and [for every adjacent pair of vertices $v, w \in P$, $c(v) \cap c(w) = \emptyset$]. We describe an $O(m)$ time algorithm explicitly.

First, we compute $\omega(G_P, \mathbf{w})$ in $O(m)$ time. For each odd number $x \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} c(x, 1) &= \{i \in \mathbb{Z} : w(x, 2) < i \leq w(x, 2) + w(x, 1)\}, \\ c(x, 2) &= \{i \in \mathbb{Z} : 1 \leq i \leq w(x, 2)\}, \\ c(x, 3) &= \{i \in \mathbb{Z} : w(x, 2) < i \leq w(x, 2) + w(x, 3)\}, \end{aligned}$$

and for each even number $x \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} c(x, 1) &= \{i \in \mathbb{Z} : \omega(G, \mathbf{w}) - w(x, 2) \geq i > \omega(G, \mathbf{w}) - w(x, 2) - w(x, 1)\}, \\ c(x, 2) &= \{i \in \mathbb{Z} : \omega(G, \mathbf{w}) \geq i > \omega(G, \mathbf{w}) - w(x, 2)\}, \\ c(x, 3) &= \{i \in \mathbb{Z} : \omega(G, \mathbf{w}) - w(x, 2) \geq i > \omega(G, \mathbf{w}) - w(x, 2) - w(x, 3)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, the above procedure requires $O(m)$ time.

It remains to show that every adjacent pair of two vertices does not share a common color. First, assume on the contrary that the edge between $(x, 1)$ and $(x + 1, 1)$ violates the condition, i.e., $c(x, 1) \cap c(x + 1, 1) \neq \emptyset$. It follows that $w(x, 1) + w(x, 2) + w(x + 1, 1) + w(x + 1, 2) > \omega(G_P, \mathbf{w})$. Since the set of four vertices $\{(x, 1), (x, 2), (x + 1, 1), (x + 1, 2)\}$ forms a clique of G_P , it is a contradiction. For other edges, the correctness is proved analogously. ■

From Lemma 1, the following result is now immediate.

Corollary 1 *If $P = \{1, \dots, m\} \times \{1, 2, 3\}$, the undirected graph $G_P(\mathbf{w})$ associated with (G_P, \mathbf{w}) is perfect.*

Proof: Every vertex induced subgraph G' of $G_P(\mathbf{w})$ is associated with a WLGD (G_P, \mathbf{w}') , satisfying that $w'(v)$ denotes the number of vertices in G' corresponding to the vertex v . ■

In case that every vertex weight is a multiple of 3, there exists a simple $(4/3)$ -approximation algorithm. In the following, we describe an outline of the algorithm. First, we construct four vertex weights \mathbf{w}'_k for $k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ by setting

$$w'_k(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & y = k \pmod{4}, \\ w(x, y)/3, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Next, we exactly solve four multicoloring problems defined on four WLGDs (G_P, \mathbf{w}'_k) ($k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$) and obtain four multicolorings. We can solve the problems independently by applying the procedure in the proof of Lemma 1 (we will describe later in detail). Here we assume that four multicolorings use mutually disjoint sets of colors. Lastly, we output the direct sum of four multicolorings. It is clear that $\max_{k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}} \omega(G_P, \mathbf{w}'_k) \leq (1/3)\omega(G_P, \mathbf{w})$. Thus, the obtained multicoloring uses at most $(4/3)\omega(G_P, \mathbf{w})$ colors.

In the following, we consider the general case and describe a proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1: For each $k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, we introduce a partition $\{A_k, B_k, C_k, D_k\}$ of P defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A_k &= \{(x, y) \in P : y = k \pmod{4}\}, \\ B_k &= \{(x, y) \in P : y = k + 2 \pmod{4}\}, \\ C_k &= \{(x, y) \in P : y = k + 1 \pmod{4}, x \text{ is odd}\} \\ &\quad \cup \{(x, y) \in P : y = k + 3 \pmod{4}, x \text{ is even}\}, \\ D_k &= \{(x, y) \in P : y = k + 1 \pmod{4}, x \text{ is even}\} \\ &\quad \cup \{(x, y) \in P : y = k + 3 \pmod{4}, x \text{ is odd}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we construct vertex weights \mathbf{w}_k for $k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ by the following procedure. We put the weight of every vertex in A_k to 0. For each vertex $(x, y) \in B_k$, we set $w_k(x, y) = \lfloor w(x, y)/3 \rfloor$. If $(x, y) \in C_k$, we set

$$w_k(x, y) = \begin{cases} \lfloor w(x, y)/3 \rfloor, & w(x, y) = 0 \pmod{3}, \\ \lfloor w(x, y)/3 \rfloor + 1, & w(x, y) \in \{1, 2\} \pmod{3}, \end{cases}$$

and in case that $(x, y) \in D_k$, we set

$$w_k(x, y) = \begin{cases} \lfloor w(x, y)/3 \rfloor, & w(x, y) \in \{0, 1\} \pmod{3}, \\ \lfloor w(x, y)/3 \rfloor + 1, & w(x, y) = 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Clearly from the definition, the equality $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}_0 + \mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2 + \mathbf{w}_3$ holds.

For each WLGD (G_P, \mathbf{w}_k) ($k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$), we delete all the vertices in A_k and decompose the graph into $O(n)$ connected components. Then each connected component satisfies the condition in Lemma 1 and so the procedure in the proof of Lemma 1 finds a multicoloring of (G_P, \mathbf{w}_k) using $\omega(G_P, \mathbf{w}_k)$ colors in $O(mn)$ time. Here we assume that four multicolorings use mutually disjoint sets of colors. Then the direct sum of four multicoloring becomes a multicoloring of original WLGD (G_P, \mathbf{w}) .

Lastly, we show that the algorithm finds a multicoloring with at most $(4/3)\omega(G_P, \mathbf{w}) + 4$ colors. We only need to show the inequality $\omega(G_P, \mathbf{w}_k) \leq (1/3)\omega(G_P, \mathbf{w}) + 1$ for all $k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. Let V' be a clique of G_P and $V_k'' \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \{(x, y) \in V' : w_k(x, y) = \lfloor w(x, y)/3 \rfloor + 1\}$. The definition of weights \mathbf{w}_k directly implies that $|V_k''| \leq 2$, since $|V' \cap C_k| \leq 1$ and $|V' \cap D_k| \leq 1$. We denote the weight of the clique V' with respect to \mathbf{w}_k or \mathbf{w} by $w_k(V')$ or $w(V')$, respectively. If $V_k'' = \emptyset$, we have done. When $|V_k''| = 1$, the inequality $w(V') \geq 3(w_k(V') - 1) = 3w_k(V') - 3$ holds. In case that $|V_k''| = 2$, $|V' \cap C_k| = |V' \cap D_k| = 1$ and so we have $w(V') \geq 3(w_k(V') - 2) + 1 + 2 = 3w_k(V') - 3$. Thus we have the desired result. ■

3. Hardness Result

In this section, we discuss the hardness of our problem.

Theorem 2 *Given a WLGD (G_P, \mathbf{w}) , it is NP-complete to determine whether (G_P, \mathbf{w}) is multicolorable with strictly less than $(4/3)\omega(G_P, \mathbf{w})$ colors or not.*

Proof: It is known to be NP-complete to determine the 3-colorability of a given planar graph H with each vertex of degree either 3 or 4 (see [1] e.g.). We show a procedure to construct a WLGD (G_P, \mathbf{w}) such that (G_P, \mathbf{w}) is 3-multicolorable if and only if H is 3-colorable. In the following, we identify a WLGD (G_P, \mathbf{w}) with the $n \times m$ integer matrix $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n \times m}$ such that rows and columns are indexed by $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ respectively.

First, we introduce 3 special WLGDs defined by the following matrices:

$$L_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, L_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, L_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The four elements of L_0 indexed by $\{(1, 3), (3, 1), (3, 5), (5, 3)\}$ are the “contact points” of L_0 . Observe that in any 3-multicoloring of L_0 , all the contact points must have the same color. Similarly, four elements of L_1 indexed by $\{(1, 3), (3, 1), (5, 3), (3, 11)\}$ are the “contact points” such that in any 3-multicoloring of L_1 , the contact points must have the same color. The “contact pair” of L_2 indexed by $\{(3, 1), (3, 7)\}$ satisfies that in any 3-multicoloring of L_2 , the contact points have different colors.

Next, we embed the planar graph H (with each vertex degree is either 3 or 4) on the x - y plane and obtain a plane graph H' such that (1) H' is a subdivision of H (H' is homeomorphic to H), (2) every vertex of H' is an integer lattice point in $\{1, 2, \dots, m'\} \times \{1, 2, \dots, n'\}$, (3) every edge of H' is either a vertical or horizontal edge with unit length, and (4) m' and n' are bounded by a polynomial of the number of vertices of H . Figure 1 shows an embedding H' of a subdivision of K_4 . For each edge of H' , we insert 9 vertices and obtain a finer subdivision H'' of H' . Figure 2 shows the finer subdivision H'' of H' appearing in Figure 1. We put $P = \{1, 2, \dots, 10m'\} \times \{1, 2, \dots, 10n'\}$ and construct G_P (a lattice graph with diagonals) from P . It is easy to see that H'' is a subgraph of G_P . Since there is a linear time algorithm for finding a planar embedding of a given graph or deciding that it is not planar [4], the computational effort of the above procedure is obviously bounded by a polynomial of the number of vertices in H .




Figure 1: An embedding of H' which is a subdivision of K_4




Figure 2: The finer subdivision H'' of H' in Figure 1

Lastly, we construct the vertex weights \mathbf{w} of G_P as follows. Initially, we put all the vertex weights to 0. For each vertex v of H'' whose degree is greater than 2, we replace the weights of vertices in G_P whose Euclidean distances from v are less than or equal to $2\sqrt{2}$ by matrix L_0 . For each edge e in the original graph H , there exists a corresponding path P_e in H'' . We denote the path P_e by a sequence of vertices $(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{10k})$. Then we replace the weights of vertices near the vertices in the subpath (v_2, v_3, \dots, v_8) with the matrix L_2 or its rotated image satisfying that $\{v_2, v_8\}$ becomes the contact pair of L_2 . Here we note that the copies of L_0 and L_2 share five vertices. In case $k \geq 2$, we apply the following. For every $k' \in \{1, 2, \dots, k - 1\}$, we replace the weights of vertices near the vertices in the subpath $(v_{10k'-2}, v_{10k'-1}, \dots, v_{10k'+8})$ by a copy of L_1 or its rotated image satisfying that $v_{10k'-2}$ corresponds to one of the elements of L_1 indexed by $(1,3), (3,1), (5,3)$ and $v_{10k'+8}$ corresponds to the element indexed by $(3,11)$. Similarly to the above, consecutive pair of matrices shares five elements. For example, the above procedure transforms H'' appearing in Figure 2 to a matrix in Figure 3. (We omit the vertices whose weights are 0.)

From the definitions of L_0, L_1, L_2 , it is obvious that the WLGD (G_P, \mathbf{w}) defined above satisfies $\omega(G_P, \mathbf{w}) = 3$ and 4-colorable. The above procedure directly implies that the given graph H is 3-colorable if and only if (G_P, \mathbf{w}) is 3-multicolorable. Thus, NP-completeness of the original problem implies that it is NP-complete to determine whether a given WLGD (G_P, \mathbf{w}) is multicolorable with strictly less than $(4/3)\omega(G_P, \mathbf{w})$ colors. ■




Figure 3: A matrix of G_P transformed from H'' in Figure 2

References

- [1] M. R. Garey and D. S. Johnson: *Computers and intractability, a guide to the theory of NP-completeness* (W. H. Freeman and Company, 1979).
- [2] M. Grötschel, L. Lovász and A. Schrijver: *Geometric algorithms and combinatorial optimization* (Springer-Verlag, 1988).
- [3] M. M. Halldórsson and G. Kortsarz: Tools for multicoloring with applications to planar graphs and partial k -trees. *Journal of Algorithms*, **42** (2002) 334–366.
- [4] J. E. Hopcroft and R. E. Tarjan: Efficient planarity testing. *Journal of the Association for Computing Machinery*, **21** (1974) 549–568.
- [5] C. McDiarmid and B. Reed: Channel assignment and weighted coloring. *Networks*, **36** (2000) 114–117.
- [6] L. Narayanan and S. M. Shende: Static frequency assignment in cellular networks. *Algorithmica*, **29** (2001) 396–409.
- [7] J. Xue: Solving the minimum weighted integer coloring problem. *Combinatorial Optimization and Application*, **11** (1998) 53–64.

Yuichiro Miyamoto
 Department of Mechanical Engineering
 Faculty of Science and Technology
 Sophia University
 7-1 Kioi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 102-8554
 Japan.
 E-mail: y-miyamo@sophia.ac.jp